



(1) Ecole Polytechnique de Montreal, CGM, Montreal, QC, Canada, (2) CEREMA Centre d'Étude et d'Expertise sur les Risques, l'Environnement, la Mobilité et l'Aménagement, (3) IFSTTAR Institut Français des Sciences et Technologies des Transports, de l'Aménagement et des Réseaux, Bouguenais Cedex, France

## CONTEXT

Quality control of compaction work consists of assessing the gravimetric water content and the dry density of the implemented structure. Most of the standardized geotechnical tests are point measurements, destructive, time consuming and may require employing nuclear probes. Also lime and cement treatments may be required to stabilize water-sensitive soils. Lime-cement-fines reactions are not yet full understood and the pore space and water content are affected (Saussaye, 2012). The use of in situ monitoring of the reactions would be of high interest. Because of its sensitivity to textural parameters of porous media, SIP shows a high potential to assess the condition of building materials (Kruschwitz et al., 2014), the porous network (Florsch et al., 2014) and recent work investigates linkages between geotechnical parameters and the SIP response (Boadu and Owusu-nimo, 2010). The present study was designed to (1) observe characteristics features of a natural and treated soil, and to (2) assess the ability of SIP to discriminate between samples compacted near the Optimum Proctor (OP).



Samples are mechanically compacted cores (5 cm-diameter and 10 cm-long) according to French standards (NF P 94-230-1). Each group is composed of 5 batches of 3 samples each. Compaction levels were chosen along the Proctor curve (Box II). Initial gravimetric water content is determined per batch, with the oven test using a fraction of material prior to compaction. The objectives of implementation were almost always reached for the N-samples.



<b>II.3)</b> Properties of the obtained batches			Group N			Group T			
w = gravimetric water content  [%]									
$\gamma_d = dry density [kg.cm^{-3}]$		w	$\gamma_d$	$\phi$	$s_w$	$\mid w$	$\gamma_d$	$\phi$	$s_w$
$\phi = \text{porosity}$	1	15.7	1.76	0.35	0.79	16.8	1.7	0.37	0.77
$s_w = \text{degree of saturation}$	2	13.9	1.74	0.35	0.68	15.2	1.68	0.38	0.67
A color code is used to designed the	3	13.5	1.77	0.34	0.69	18.3	1.68	0.38	0.81
batches: (1) blue, (2) green, (3) black, (4)	4	16.5	1.77	0.34	0.85	15	1.7	0.37	0.68
magenta, (5) red.	5	16.4	1.75	0.35	0.81	18.5	1.7	0.37	0.84

# SAMPLE HOLDER

The sample holder is designed after the work of Binley et al. (2005). Samples are inserted between two chambers filled with agar gel (tap water at 70 mS/m, 4% (total weight) of Sigma Aldrich noble agar). Slices of agar gel are used to ensure a good and consistent contact between the gel in the chambers and the sample during the experiment. We regularly checked that the contact resistance between the potential electrodes is below 20 k $\Omega$  as recommended in Kemna *et al.* (2012). Current electrodes are 5cm-diameter stainless steel plates, attached to the ends of the sample holder.





Box III : Installation. The sample holder and the samples are stored in a climate controlled chamber set at 20°C and 50% humidity (A). We conducted preliminary tests with the sample holder filled with agar gel to ensure the measured residual phase is below 1 mrad. The agar gel was renewed between the measurements on group N and group T.

# SIP response of compacted natural and lime-cement-treated loam

# Carole Kaouane<sup>1,2</sup>, Michel C. Chouteau<sup>1</sup>, and Philippe Côte<sup>3</sup>

Box II : Characteristics of the studied samples : exposed along the Proctor curve (II.1 and II.2) numbered in (II.3). Porosity is estimated from the known volume of the sample and its weight. Porosity of group-N samples is  $\Phi=0.349\pm0.005$ . Estimated porosity of group T is  $\Phi = 0.37 \pm 0.003$ .

Number of the batch is written with the corresponding color code used for box. V and VI).



of the phase increases with increasing saturation for group-N and decreases for group-T. We perform a Debye-decomposition as described by Nordsiek & Weller (2008) to assess total chargeability M, normalized chargeability  $M_n$  and mean frequency  $f_0$  (Box VI) and we apply the code developed by Florsch et al (2014) to observe the relaxation time distribution (RTD) considering a Debye-like decomposition. Assuming each relaxation time  $\tau$  is related to a pore size  $\Lambda$ trough a diffusion coefficient  $D_i$ ,  $\Lambda = (2D_i\tau)^{0.5}$ , we obtain a pore size distribution (PSD) (Box VII).

<u>Box VI</u>: Total chargeability M, normalized chargeability  $Mn=M/\rho_0$  and the mean frequency  $f_0$  obtained with Debye Decomposition versus saturation. Empty circles represent the Group N and full squares represent the Group T.  $\rho_0$  is the amplitude of the resistivity at the lowest measured frequency (1 mHz for T-samples and 10 mHz for N-samples).





natural and treated loam.



POLYTECHNIQUE MONTRÉA



# WORLD-CLASS ENGINEERING



<u>Box VII : (Up)</u> Relaxation time distribution (RTD) and pore size distribution (PSD) for different degrees of saturation for a natural sample using the code of Debye-decomposition of Florsch et al 2014. (a) Nyquist diagram: model (black line), measurements (symbols). Measured data are down-sampled for clarity. The arrow shows the decrease in saturation from 0.9 to 0.75. (b) RTD (c) estimated PSD using (2\*Di\*τ)<sup>0.5</sup>, Di=1.3\*10<sup>-10</sup> m<sup>2</sup>/s. Desaturation is done by exposing them to air circulation within the chamber. (Down) Treated sample (batch #5) at different curing times: days 5, 11 and 17. The plain red line (D17) seems to "smooth" the RTD of D5 (dotted black line) and D11 (dotted blue line).



### **CONSIDERATIONS**

We cannot observe the influence of porosity (or dry density) on the SIP response. On one hand, the differences in porosity between the group N samples are very small. On the other hand, the porosity of the group T samples is changing over time while cement hydrates develop into the pore space. Therefore, we can only observe the influence of saturation. The saturation degree estimated for the group T samples may be overestimated because of the lime and cement reaction, which consumes water

We explain the electrical behaviour of the group N samples by the presence of a conductive matrix formed of mostly saturated clay-silt aggregates in contact with each other. The desaturation process decreases the surface covered by the pore solution and slightly increases its conductivity. The RTDs shown in box VII (N sample) suggest a contribution of grains of smaller dimensions with the decrease in saturation, which was expected (Binley *et al.* 2005).

A diffusion process along the membrane formed by cement hydrates might cause the low frequency effect observed for group T samples. With time, cement hydrates fill the pore space. The evolution of the spectrum at higher frequencies could be representative of those changes in porosity. The RTD of group T samples seems to flatten with time. We speculate that appropriate calibration could allow to monitor the evolution of treated soils and, therefore, to characterize their stabilization.



cement hydrates. That could explain the similarities between the effect of desaturation and the effect of the cure on the SIP spectrum.

Binley, A., Slater, L. D., Fukes, M., & Cassiani, G., 2005, Relationship between spectral induced polarization and hydraulic properties of saturated and unsaturated sandstone. Water Resources Research, 41(12), W12417. Boadu, F. K., & Owusu-nimo, F., 2010, Influence of petrophysical and geotechnical engineering properties on the electrical response of unconsolidated earth materials. Geophysics, 75(3), G21–G28. Florsch, N., Revil, A., & Camerlynck, C., 2014, Inversion of generalized relaxation time distributions with optimized

damping parameter. Journal of Applied Geophysics, 109, 119–132. Kemna, A., Binley, A., Cassiani, G., Niederleithinger, E., Revil, A., Slater, L., Williams, K.,H., Orozco, A.F., Haegel, F.-H.,

Hördt, A., Kruschwitz, S., Leroux, V., Titov, K., Zimmermann, E., 2012, An overview of the spectral induced polarization method for near-surface applications. Near Surface Geophysics, 453–468. Kruschwitz, S., 2014, SIP responses of building materials, investigation of correlations with specific surface and

dominant pore throat size. In 3rd International Workshop on Induced Polarization. Ile d'Oléron, France. Nordsiek, S., & Weller, A., 2008, A new approach to fitting induced-polarization spectra. Geophysics, 73(8), F235. Saussaye, L., 2012, Soil treatment with hydraulic binders: geotechnical and physico-chemical aspects of disturbances of solidification; PhD Thesis, Université de Caen (*in French*).

Acknowledgements: This work would not have been possible without the support of Ontash & Ermac, Inc.